# TOWN OF ATLANTIC BEACH PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING AND PUBLIC HEARINGS

\*\*\*\*\*

Thursday, February 16, 2023

1:00 p.m.

The Town of Atlantic Beach Planning Commission meeting and public hearing was held and recorded at Atlantic Beach Community Center, 1010 32nd Avenue South, Atlantic Beach, South Carolina, on the 16th day of February, 2023, and transcribed by Amanda Godfrey, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of South Carolina.

#### **APPEARANCES**

COM	MIS	SION	MEMBE	RS:	
					_

Commissioner Derrick Stevens Commissioner Timothy L. Vereen Commissioner Esco McFadden Commissioner Poterressia McNeil Commissioner S. Kathryn Allen

#### ADMINISTRATION:

Benjamin Quattlebaum Jr., Town Manager Cheryl Pereira, Town Clerk

#### **GUESTS:**

Leigh Kane, Local Planning Services Director
Waccamaw Regional Council of Governments
C.D. Rhodes, Attorney for Town of Atlantic Beach
Charles Morant, Developer
Dwayne Dancy, Architect and Team
Erica Morant, Development Team
Jim Green, G3 Engineering & Surveying

#### INDEX

#### PAGE:

Call to Order	
General Session	
Public Hearing - Ordinance to Amend the Land	
Management Ordinance to Modify the District	
Bulk, Dimensional & Density Standards to	
Support Infill Development and Reduce the	
Prevalence of Nonconforming Lots	18
Public Hearing - Ordinance to Amend the Land	
Management Ordinance to Modify the Parking	
Requirements to Support Infill, Mixed-Use	
Development	34
Public Hearing - Ordinance to Amend the Land	
Management Ordinance to Modify the	
Eligibility for Designation for the Planned	
Development and the Flexible Design Zoning	
Districts	41
Certificate	99

17 COMMISSIONER VEREEN:

19 CLERK:

20 COMMISSIONER STEVENS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

21 CLERK: Commissioner Timothy Vereen?

22 COMMISSIONER VEREEN: Here.

2.3 CLERK: Commissioner Esco McFadden?

24 COMMISSIONER McFADDEN: Yes.

25 CLERK: Commissioner Poterressia McNeil?



#### TOWN OF ATLANTIC BEACH 4 1 COMMISSIONER MCNEIL: Yeah. 2 CLERK: Commissioner Kathryn Allen? 3 COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Yes. 4 MR. QUATTLEBAUM: Okay. Public, you can remain out, 5 we're going to hold the Executive Session in 6 the conference room in the back, ask the 7 Commissioners please step forward, Ms. Kane, 8 Waccamaw COG and our attorney. 9 Executive Session: 10 (Executive Session) 11 COMMISSIONER STEVENS: I make a motion to come out 12 of the Executive Session. 13 COMMISSIONER VEREEN: Second. 14 COMMISSIONER STEVENS: It's been seconded. 15 Roll call -- say roll call. CLERK: 16 COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Roll call. 17 CLERK: Commissioner Derrick Stevens? 18 COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Yes. 19 CLERK: Commissioner Timothy Vereen? 20 COMMISSIONER VEREEN: Yes. 21 Commissioner Esco McFadden? CLERK: 22 COMMISSIONER McFADDEN: Yes. 23 **CLERK:** Commissioner Poterressia McNeil? 24 COMMISSIONER MCNEIL: Yes. 25 CLERK: Commissioner Kathryn Allen?

	TOWN OF ATLANTIC BEACH 6
1	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: So, are we down to the public
2	hearings?
3	MR. QUATTLEBAUM: No, go back to the approval of
4	calendar.
5	(Inaudible background noise.)
6	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Approval Number V.,
7	approval of 2023 calendar of meetings.
8	COMMISSIONER VEREEN: So moved
9	(Inaudible background noise.)
10	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Roll call.
11	CLERK: Commissioner Derrick Stevens?
12	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Yea.
13	CLERK: Commissioner Timothy Vereen?
14	COMMISSIONER VEREEN: Yes.
15	CLERK: Commissioner Esco McFadden?
16	COMMISSIONER McFADDEN: Yes.
17	CLERK: Commissioner Poterressia McNeil?
18	COMMISSIONER MCNEIL: Yes.
19	CLERK: Commissioner Kathryn Allen?
20	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Number VI., public hearings.
22	MS. KANE: Good afternoon, everybody, Planning
23	Commission and members of the public. My name
24	is Leigh Kane and I serve as the Local Planning
25	Services Director to the Waccamaw Regional

25

1

Council οf Governments and in that role Τ technical assistance for the provide Management Ordinance to the Town of Atlantic Beach so that way you all know who I am. Ιn regards to some of the hearings that we have these are amendments to the Land Management Ordinance, they're proposals. The Land Management Ordinance was adopted back in 2019 and, just like with any other community, once you get into it and you start implementing it, you start seeing things that need to get tweaked, and so those are some of the things that I'm bringing before you today. I'm gonna present some of that information so that way you can be able to weigh your thoughts and ask me questions and then there'll be a hearing after we have discuss about -- so there'll be a hearing on each one of these individual Land Management Ordinance amendments. The first one is related to the bulk, dimensional and density standards. Ιf you look in your packets starting on page 49 and it'll start going into the details on that. Something that we between myself, Mr. Quattlebaum and some other individuals, there's been some confusion about

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	

25

where residential is allowed in the Main Street 1 District, so that's the first thing that's a part of this amendment, whether it's allowed on the ground floor or whether it has to be on the second or third story above commercial, this really -- this portion of the amendment is just to clarify that multi-family is allowed within that district, that it's allowed to be to the rear of properties. So, say you just had a storefront to the front but you wanted to have apartments that had access to the rear, this is clarification. Ιn that terms of geographically where that area is applicable, you'll see here in relationship to the Town where that district is, that is intended to be the main street corridor for the Town and that's what the Comprehensive Plan and the Land Management Ordinance support. Have a question -- any questions on that particular portion of the amendment before I move forward? The next changes are in relationship to the table that lays the dimensional out requirements so the first one is to reduce the minimum lot width for the R2 District, that's the -- purely more so single-family residential

	_
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

1

Right now, it is 50 feet wide and district. the recommendation is to go down to 35 feet, that would allow for some of the larger tracts to actually get subdivided. This isn't going have a significant impact on some of layout of the community but it could help some of those larger R2 District's lots be able to subdivide, to be able to put two units opposed to one. It won't change the minimum lot size requirement so that's still 6,000. you may have a -- say a 12,000 square foot tract that's got 70 feet of frontage versus 100 feet of frontage, and that's what that would allow for. If you'll go down to the portion of the chart that shows a mark through for singlefamily attached, that's basically a duplex, but something that would allow for the property lines to run through the middle. know at your previous meeting back in 2021 that discussed, was something that was addressed with -- here, where it was reduced from 6,000 to three, so you only need to be able to require 3,000 square feet of lot per dwelling unit, but it wasn't addressed here, so the only difference here is one is actually --

	_
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

1

can be subdivided to be simply -- and that's it so it's just to be consistent so the duplex is a duplex, but it would be able to have the property line drawn through the middle. Ιn regards to minimum lot size -- that's up here started going through and doing some parcel sizes analysis with SO when the ordinance was originally adopted, it was for lots in the Main Street 1, those the Street 1 Residential and the Main Street districts to be 7,500 square feet minimum, and started looking at it and realizing that anywhere from like a third to half of the lots didn't meet that minimum lot size requirements so people that have vacant lots right now would be challenged by even just passing go to be able to develop their lots SO the recommendation is just to reduce that down to 7,000 so that way you -- it will improve the developability of those lots. It's relatively simple change and as I move forward working with the Town, I'll be looking other discrepancies like that just because we don't want to have a lot of variances coming before the (inaudible) court of appeals

And

#### TOWN OF ATLANTIC BEACH

this will help eliminate some of that.

then, lastly on here is marked through for two-family dwellings for the Main Street 1 District, this actually is not an allowable use and this is scrivener's error, that was me when the Land Management Ordinance was originally drafted. I'm here for questions on this page before I move forward.

9

COMMISSIONER McNEIL: When you say move forward, what does that mean?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

MS. KANE: The next set, there's only one more set of revisions with this ordinance. And lastly, in those main street districts, right now with those -- think -- you want to think about how your main street is going to develop, right now, the -- if you have lots that are over 8,000 square feet -- or say somebody wanted to buy up three lots next to one another combine them into one lot for a multi-family development, а hotel or mixed-use а development, right now that actually would trigger them to go to a 60 foot rear setback, which I'm sure you're aware, most of the lots in your town are about 140, 150 feet deep, if that. So effectively right now the Ordinance

#### TOWN OF ATLANTIC BEACH

takes away half of the development capability
if you end up combining lots, that's not going
to encourage your main street to development as
you intended to so my recommendation is to
let's scale it back to a 30 foot minimum rear
setback, I actually would recommend even going
less than that so but I think in order to
preserve some of the R2 District that surrounds
some of this and make sure that that's not
infringing height wise and shading on the
adjacent property owners that the 30 foot would
be appropriate at this time until we look at it
a little further.
COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Okay.
MS. KANE: So that is my first round of amendments,
it's a lot to swallow, I'm sorry.
(Trandible background noise )

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### (Inaudible background noise.)

MS. KANE: You all also still have to have a public hearing for this.

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Okay.

(Inaudible background noise.)

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Yeah, I -- here's my concern and I would love to hear my colleagues thoughts on this. My concern as I read through this was the number of items that needed to be addressed



	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

1

or cleaned up. There you made the comment
how the main street is going to develop, you
also made the comment, you know, we don't want
to a bunch, or a slew, of variances being
brought before the Planning Commission and,
while the Council voted in 2019, the last time
that this Planning Commission actually looked
at this was 2017 and, if I'm not correct, I
mean, I if I'm not wrong and you can tell
me if I am we are actually tasked with, at
least with every five years, to relook at the
Land Management Ordinance and to, you know,
make sure that these kinds of items, that we've
looked at them sort of in a holistic or, you
know, expansive way so I have concern about
making individual changes, even though there
were several that were in this particular
group, I have some concern about doing that
without looking at all of the various aspects
not that I would disagree necessarily or
agree with what's been proposed here or that it
doesn't seem straightforward or whatever, but
my concern is that when we make these
individual changes in fact, we did one back
in '21 and it's still not enough to do what we

1

4

3

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

need to do here. You said it's not consistent, which is kind of my point, but I think it would make more sense for us, at least my plan would be to vote no against this because I think we need to do what I consider our job and really look at the entire plan holistically.

I can respond to that just in terms of --MS. KANE: your Land Management Ordinance -so, people refer to it as just zoning ordinance and land development regulations are not required to be looked at -- you know, and your Comprehensive Plan is required to be looked at every five years and redone every 10 years, but it is pretty typical for jurisdictions to go through and do revisions to their land management ordinance on a regular basis so -but that is, of course, your choice.

MR. QUATTLEBAUM: Mr. Chairman, may I ---

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Certainly, yeah.

MR. QUATTLEBAUM: Commissioners, many of these requests that you -- for these amendments have been brought by recent property investors in the Town and have spoken to me and asked for some relief and adjustments to some of the Land Management Ordinance requirements that impede

	_	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	

25

1

the development on our main street primarily. Parking, another issue that comes uр repeatedly. So, I directed staff to look at all of the -- well, many of the amendments or recommendations we can make that people impacted -- investors that want to build in the Town have been -- communicated to me that it's impeding them from developing, to look at them immediately and see what kind of adjustments and amendments can we make. So these that you have before you, as Ms. Kane said, they are not the final ones, they are the ones that are the pressing nowfor people that who build so investors want to that's why they're coming to you at this point, and you're absolutely right. And just to give the Commission some history and background on the Comprehensive Plan, was done in 2007, I think, 2008, and had not been updated. The intent was initially in the process, did not look at terms addressing or revising the Comprehensive Plan in the adoption of this Land Management in 2019, the primary objective Ordinance that time was to get us in compliance with the state law requirements so we would be eligible

1

for funding and things of that nature so acknowledge that it was not looked at instead with future -- the second point is that at that particular time, until the last three years -about -- approximately last three years, have not had the kind of development activity and requests for development in the Town until time so now they're becoming pressing that issues because people are -- for investors and people looking to develop in the Town are directly impacted by it and, as Ms. Kane said, plan to look at additional direction to the staff, we're gonna look others that we can hopefully do expeditiously help serve continued development in Town.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Quattlebaum. I

-- and that was really helpful, I mean that's

-- was my thought on how this has sort of come
together, and I appreciate the need for
expediency and we certainly want investment in
the Town, I think we all agree on that, but I
still -- you said these are not the final ones,
I still have the issue of expediency over -you know, that we really look at all of it as

25

a whole and look at where are we trying to -what things are we trying to really address and not sort of be reactionary, and I'm concerned about that because, as you said, the actual plan was written in 2007, we haven't really, I mean, I think maybe we looked at it in 2017, again, and I'm just concerned that as the Town is growing, as the changes are being made, as folks are coming in with lots of requests of us, that this body, given our responsibilities and rolls within the Town, really should -- and I don't mean this should drag out or be a long, involved, you know, 10-year process, but I do think that it makes sense for us to just sit down for two minutes and -- not really two minutes but, you know, a short amount of time and really walk through all of these with your staff to make sure that we have looked at what unintended consequences, have we could be really looked at if we do this change here, what's that gonna mean, you know, if it's -- it helps 30th Street but what does that mean for 29th or for 32nd, you know, I just -- that's the concern I have ---

MR. QUATTLEBAUM: Okay ---



coming into the Town. To the point of looking

comprehensively at this, it's one thing as an

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

24

25

investor coming into the Town, but how does that affect those of us that are already here and residents in the Town? So, that's what I don't see in some of these proposals, just like, okay, it affects the business street and — as you said, but how does it affect the people that are living on 29th or 32nd Avenue?

MR. QUATTLEBAUM: Can I get clarification, are you saying that these recommendations may have an adverse effect on residential properties on other streets, 29th and 32nd, is that the point

MR. FARAH: Yes, yes ---

FEMALE SPEAKER: Yes.

MR. FARAH: --- I mean it's being proposed as this is for an investor coming in, well, those of us that have already invested here, we have the vision of what is here too, and one might compete with the other I think ---

MR. QUATTLEBAUM: Can you cite -- can you cite a specific -- on these amendments, what specifically do you see as an adverse impact to anyone else ---

MR. FARAH: I didn't -- I don't know the details, I think that's what I'm saying. As it's

1	ı		
	_		
-	1		

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. KANE:

23

24

25

Okay.

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Mr. Booker?

MR. BOOKER: Good afternoon --

presented, it just says like it's looking at it from a new investor coming in, hasn't been looked at from a residential perspective or the Town, I'm agreeing with rest of the the comments that were made.

Well, the process, as I understand MR. QUATTLEBAUM: it -- correct me, Ms. Kane if it's wrong -this is the public hearing portion of it, it still has to go through a recommendation from the Planning Commission and then, for an ordinance change, adoption by the Council --

MS. KANE: That's correct.

MR. OUATTLEBAUM: --- so you'll have three other opportunities, and that process will take minimum of 90 days for scheduling to be done. So, if there's anything specifically you see and have time to look at it, review it absorb it that you feel is an impact, we'll be open to entertaining that.

Technically, the Planning Commission gets

MR. FARAH: Okay. Thank you.



1

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Good afternoon.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BOOKER: --- Commissioners, Mr. Quattlebaum and neighbors and visitors. I'm William Booker, I live on 29th Avenue, and I'd like to talk a little bit about the changes but I want to talk about the changes in the context of -- the Master Plan for the Town was developed in 2007, that's quite а few years The ago. Comprehensive Plan was adopted in 2017, that's six years ago. And as the Commissioner said that the law requires that they be reviewed sort of mid-term, which is five years, because that Comprehensive Plan was from 2017 to 2026, or something like that, so halfway -- we're well over halfway and that review hasn't been made. And so as Mr. Quattlebaum has aot new investors in, wе have residents here, and we have an old vision and, Mr. Quattlebaum, we've had many conversations about the need and the opportunity to amend this vision that would take into account the new stakeholders, and so I don't see why we wouldn't take advantage of this opportunity by complying recommendations with the concerning the Comprehensive Plan and reviewing

23

24

25

1

it in the context of making these changes that we can do them all together as opposed to independent activities and hoping that thev come together so I tend to want to support what the Commissioner said in terms of doing this over -- an overall view to make sure that we're getting it right and take into account all of the new investors, the new stakeholders, residents, the new people who to develop and try to get it right than -opposed to just pressing ahead with these ideas and these concepts and these visions which are, in my opinion, somewhat dated and we haven't looked at them. And so, we've been asking to have an opportunity to get into it so that we can sort of hone in those things to make them I'd like for crisp, and us to take advantage of that opportunity so thank you.

COUNCILWOMAN GORE: Hello, my name is Jacqui Gore, which you all probably know me, I'm a sitting Council member here for the Town of Atlantic Beach. I don't have anything negative to say about anything that anybody has said here, but I will say this much right here, all I can hear is what the new stakeholders has brought to the

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

1

table, what everybody new has brought, well, I'm gonna be (inaudible) years old, have been here for 58 years, have never sat around trying to wait for somebody to give us a vision 'cause quess what? We had our own vision before all of you all guys came here and (inaudible) here, we just didn't have the resources to develop it because we here don't own the property that you all are looking at on 30th Avenue, matter of fact, the Town doesn't own anything much to be said what we're gonna do or what we're not gonna do but for somebody to sit here and think that we sat here all these years for you all to come back from where you all came from and you all want to make your all's -- our town like you all want your all's town, I get upset about that because, yes, we did have a vision before you all came here and no, it was not putting no houses all up and down our 30th Avenue nor our 31st Avenue. Mixed use, because there was houses already there, but I'm here to tell you this, there's a lot of mouths been fed off of 30th Avenue, 31st Avenue, well as Ocean Boulevard and I know some of the people that's (inaudible) here that love to get

1

13 14 15

10

11

12

17

16

1819

21

20

Z 1

22

23

24

25

on the bandwagon with everybody else, it don't matter -- and I'm not talking about nobody in particular 'cause they all know exactly who I'm talking about, the first thing come through here smoking, show them something shiny, they jump on it and guess what happens every time? They end up in the bottom and if they're going about their business, well, we have vision, like I said, I am so (inaudible) this man, this hotel here, and I know that you all probably see a little different than me and, want to go by all the rules wе and regulations that we're supposed to go by but, at the same time, we aren't trying to stop --Council ain't trying to stop nothing because we, as Council members, want change in our Town and that's what we're looking for and I thank you.

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Anybody else? We need to
 vote on this?

#### (Inaudible background noise.)

MS. KANE: To make a recommendation to Council, yes.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: So can I move ---

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{COMMISSIONER} & \textbf{STEVENS:} & \textbf{Yeah, go.} \\ \end{tabular}$ 

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I mean I move that we recommend

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

25

that we not make these changes at this time and that we -- I'll just leave it at that. I recommend that the Council give us -- let me put it exactly how I want it. I recommend that the Council allow the Planning Commission time to review these -- review these staff proposals -- is that a good word? Holistically together and so vote no at this point.

MS. KANE: So just for clarification purposes, if you say yes (inaudible) that means that you're voting no. Typically a motion would be made in the positive and then that way it would be very clear as to who voted yes and who voted no, just -- so ---

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: So if we say yes, we vote no, okay.

MS. KANE: Right, and so just -- it can cause some confusion if you make a motion in the negative.

# (Inaudible background noise.)

MR. QUATTLEBAUM: Need a second on the motion.

COMMISSIONER McFADDEN: Second ---

MS. KANE: So the motion on the table is to disapprove, is that correct?

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: That is correct.

MS. KANE: All right.

1	COMMISSIONER MCNEIL: I second the motion.			
2	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: We have a second. Roll call.			
3	CLERK: Commissioner Derrick Stevens?			
4	MALE SPEAKER: Yes			
5	5 COMMISSIONER STEVENS: If I say nay.			
6	CLERK: Commissioner Timothy Vereen?			
7	(Inaudible background noise.)			
8	MS. KANE: If you need clarification, so the motion			
9	is to not approve so if you say yes to your			
10	vote, you're voting to not approve, if you say			
11	nay you're voting to approve.			
12	(Inaudible background noise.)			
13	MR. RHODES: Mr. Chairman			
14	MS. KANE: The alternative, you all, is to			
15	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Sure, come on.			
16	MR. RHODES: So, just for the record, I'm C.D.			
17	Rhodes. My name is C.D. Rhodes, I'm the Town's			
18	legal counsel and so I'm I think it's			
19	appropriate I'm offering you a little clarity			
20	here. So the motion on the table is to			
21	disapprove these changes to the Ordinance, if			
22	you agree with that motion, then you would vote			
23	yes; if you disagree with that motion, you			
24	would vote no. If there are a majority of no			
25	votes then the motion to disapprove these			

votes, then the motion to disapprove these

24

25

changes would fail ---

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Then ---

MR. RHODES: --- we would then presumably have another motion to approve the changes and then we would vote on that. Does that make sense? So if this motion fails, we'll need another motion behind it to approve these in the alternative. Does that make sense?

MALE SPEAKER: Yes ---

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Correct.

MR. RHODES: Is everybody on -- okay, I just want to make sure everybody -- 'cause Leigh is right, the -- some of these motions can be confusing and folks can vote in ways that they don't intend to vote.

### (Inaudible background noise.)

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Why don't we just make a
motion to approve ---

MR. RHODES: Yeah, and one way to resolve that is, as Leigh suggested, to have a motion to approve

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Approve ---

MR. RHODES: --- the changes and then if that motion passes, then the motion's -- or the -- your recommendation to Council is to adopt those

1	changes; if that motion fails, then you're
2	recommending to Council to not approve those
3	changes. So you can certainly go that route;
4	if you decide to go that route, I think the
5	Commissioner who made the motion is entitled
6	under Robert's Rules to rescind that motion,
7	but I'll let you proceed in whichever direction
8	you want, just want to make sure you understand
9	what you're voting on.
10	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Um
11	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I do not want to rescind, I
12	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Okay.
13	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I would like us to
14	disapprove this motion
15	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Okay
16	(Inaudible background noise.)
17	MR. RHODES: In that case, that is the motion that's
18	on the floor so that motion has to be voted on.
19	MALE SPEAKER: I vote no.
20	(Inaudible background noise.)
21	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Okay.
22	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Are we doing roll call?
23	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Roll call.
24	CLERK: All right, we'll start over, Derrick

Nay.

COMMISSIONER STEVENS:

25

1	CLERK: Commissioner Timothy Vereen?			
2	COMMISSIONER VEREEN: Nay.			
3	CLERK: Commissioner Esco McFadden?			
4	COMMISSIONER McFADDEN: No.			
5	CLERK: Commissioner Poterressia McNeil?			
6	COMMISSIONER MCNEIL: No.			
7	CLERK: Commissioner Kathryn Allen?			
8	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Yea.			
9	MR. RHODES: Okay, so I think were there three to			
10	to not approve the motion, is that correct?			
11	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Right.			
12	MR. RHODES: Okay, that's what I thought I heard, I			
13	just wanted to make sure. So presumably there			
14	will need to be so I can get this particular			
15	issue off the table, so we need another motion			
16	to approve it (microphone interference)			
17	sorry, I'm doing something wrong here.			
18	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Motion to approve the			
19	amendment. I motion to approve the amendment.			
20	MS. KANE: Need a second.			
21	MR. RHODES: So, there needs to be a second.			
22	COMMISSIONER McFADDEN: Second.			
23	COMMISSIONER VEREEN: Second.			
24	MR. RHODES: So, just once again so we're clear,			
25	if a wata was is to approve these			

yes

is

to

approve

these

vote

а

if

1	amendments, or recommend that City Council			
2	approve these amendments, a vote no is to			
3	recommend is to not recommend that City			
4	Council approve these amendments.			
5	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Roll call.			
6	CLERK: Commissioner Derrick Stevens?			
7	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Yea.			
8	CLERK: Commissioner Timothy Vereen?			
9	COMMISSIONER VEREEN: Yes.			
10	CLERK: Commissioner Esco McFadden?			
11	COMMISSIONER McFADDEN: Yes.			
12	CLERK: Commissioner Poterressia McNeil?			
13	COMMISSIONER MCNEIL: Yes.			
14	CLERK: Commissioner Kathryn Allen?			
15	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: No.			
16	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Motion is approved, right?			
17	MS. KANE: Okay, this will so this will go to			
18	Town Council regardless, there'll also be			
19	another mechanism I believe for public hearing			
20	as well.			
21	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: But the motion was approved?			
22	MS. KANE: That's correct.			
23	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Okay.			
24	MS. KANE: Are you ready to to go forward with			

the next amendment ---

25

The next amendment

1

COMMISSIONER STEVENS:

KANE:

That's fine, yeah.

is to reduce

2

MS.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

parking requirements in MS1 and MS2 Districts, these your two main street districts are through town and, just for reference, they're on page 57 through 61 of your packet, and this is definitely one of those things like, if you do want a downtown, if you do want commercial development in your community, you do want multi-family, these are things that -- parking is a challenge, and that's why I'm bringing that to you. You do have on-street parking on 30th so that says something -- part of this is coming into the factor -- discussing. So this recommendation is not to get rid of all parking requirements so if it is a residential use or it's a hotel use, they are still going to have to provide their parking a hundred percent but it. is a mixed-use with i f say an office downstairs and residential upstairs, there's the potential here, it's proposing to be able to reduce those parking requirements in half. Τf not a mixed-use but it is is still commercial activity, the parking could reduced by 25 percent. So the intent here is

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

1

able to encourage infill for the majority of the lots on this corridor are vacant, and that's just something to bring to your attention. If this is intended to be the main street corridor, which the Comprehensive Plan and the Land Management Ordinance calls them out to be, the intent here is really just to help support some future growth. Right now, between the setback requirements and then in combination with the open space requirements for these lots -- remember, most of them are about 7,000 square feet, they're pretty small And think of any kind of for a main street. main street corridor that you would go down, most of those don't have parking on the same lot, they may have shared lots, they may have cross-access easements and they may have shared parking to the rear so it's kind of just trying to get this to the next level of vision. now, the parking requirements in -- along these corridors -- and the requirements are actually spelled out in your packet. Every single individual use -- so say you had a mixed-use development that had a restaurant and it had some apartments upstairs from it, every -- it

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

would have to meet the collective parking requirements, which makes the development of that as mixed-use really not feasible because parking requirements, for the say, restaurant, is a parking spot for every 100 feet of area so, you know, you multiply that out, you're talking the whole lot would turn into a parking lot. So, the intent here is to reduce that to start creating some main street character and activity. In the future, I do hope to come forward with options for there to be like a fee in lieu of parking along this corridor to help fund public parking areas or fund right-of-way improvements would incorporate public parking, that but we're not there yet. This is in order to be able to just help cultivate some of that main street character. And just in terms where is geographically is applicable, again, this Main Street 1 and Main Street 2 area, primarily 30th Avenue and in relationship to the Master Plan, it does call out for additional roads within town to start turning into this more walkable community where you've got on-street parking and the Master Plan the and

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	

Comprehensive Plan both call out potential parcels for future public parking areas. So, those are things that are already on the radar of the Town.

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Any questions? So, we need a
 motion to amend -- amendment ---

MS. KANE: We need a public hearing.

# Public Hearing Ordinance to Amend the Land Management Ordinance to Modify the Parking Requirements to Support Infill, Mixed-Use Development:

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Public hearing. Anybody?

MR. JAMES: All right, my name is Maurice James, property owner on -- 3010 Seaview. I have a question more than a comment. You said that the Master Plan had ID'd some lots that would be for public parking in the future.

MS. KANE: Uh-huh.

MR. JAMES: Can you share with the body what those spots are?

MS. KANE: Absolutely, and they're actually already publicly-owned lands. And so, on this image, you can seem areas in yellow. Now, there are some that -- I do think this is privately held and, remember, this is conceptual at this stage, some ideas too are that, you know, we

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	

24

25

would have another street or cross-streets in the future that would have some public parking

# (Inaudible background noise.)

MS. KANE: This amendment doesn't do that. This amendment will just be able to reduce parking requirements in that district at this time.

FEMALE SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)

MS. KANE: Yes, ma'am?

FEMALE SPEAKER: And are we able to come closer because I just ---

MS. KANE: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: So you're saying the big lot,
 yellow here, when you say the ones in the
 yellow ---

MS. KANE: Yes, ma'am. So, this is just from the Master Plan from 2007, just showing that the Town had a vision at that stage, it doesn't show specifically whose property -- if there's going to be any -- and this is really just so you all know that there is a vision for the Town for future public parking.

(Inaudible background noise.)

MS. KANE: So there's lots that are ---

(Inaudible background noise.)



--- so all of this -- so it would be on-

MS. KANE:

# 3

# 4

# 5

# 67

# 8

# 9

# 10

# 11

# 12

# 13

# 14

#### 15

#### 16

# 17

# 18

# 19

# 20

# 21

#### 22

23

24

25

street parking, which already is ---

#### (Inaudible background noise.)

- MS. KANE: --- and being able to just maximize onstreet public parking. The feasibility -- now,
  there -- the consultant at the time could've
  been (inaudible) right here is the digital
  location, (inaudible) is simply -- there's a
  public -- publicly owned land further down
  that's a more viable location.
- COMMISSIONER ALLEN: So, all of the yellow lines basically, not the big lots, are existing street parking?
- MS. KANE: Only this one on 30th. Right now, additional on-street parking hasn't been accommodated on these other roads yet.
- QUATTLEBAUM: MR. And the -- Mr. Chairman, public land that the Town of Atlantic Beach controls on 30th Avenue is the former public housing site across from 30th and Seaview, at the corner of 30th and Seaview, and it's one of t.he areas of consideration of providing additional public parking to assist with prospective development along 30th Avenue.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I will just say, again, for the

13 COMMISSIONER VEREEN:

record.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14 COMMISSIONER McFADDEN:

15 COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Roll call.

16 Commissioner Derrick Stevens? CLERK:

17 COMMISSIONER STEVENS:

18 CLERK: Commissioner Timothy Vereen?

19 COMMISSIONER VEREEN: Yes.

20 CLERK: Commissioner Esco McFadden?

21 COMMISSIONER McFADDEN:

22 CLERK: Commissioner Poterressia McNeil?

23 COMMISSIONER MCNEIL: Yes.

24 CLERK: Commissioner Kathryn Allen?

25 COMMISSIONER ALLEN: No.



	1
4	2
	3
4	4
ļ	5
(	6
	7
8	8
	9
1	С
1:	1
12	2
13	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
18	8
1	9
2	О
2	1
22	2
23	3
2	4
2	5

MS.	KANE: Again, this will go to Council and
	there'll be another opportunity for public
	input there. The next public hearing and the
	last public hearing to be discussed is an
	amendment to the Planned Development District
	and Flexible Design District eligibility
	requirements, and this is just something that
	has was very evident to me, when I started,
	we were doing the Land Management Ordinance.
	Most communities at the time and this is for
	somebody to be able to submit a rezoning
	request. Your Planned Development District and
	Flexible Design District are the most mixed-use
	developments that would end up coming into the
	Town, based off the Zoning Ordinance, each one
	of these ends up having to be approved by
	Council and recommended by the Planning
	Commission but, at this time, the requirements
	are that all the properties be under the same
	ownership at the time of rezoning submission.
	That is something that I would say is
	relatively atypical of communities, it's not
	even something that is required within the Town
	for other zoning districts. So, say you had
	three properties on Main Street that somebody

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	0
	1
2	
	3
2	4

25

1

wanted to develop, it's not a requirement if somebody wanted to rezone those. So -you know, it's just one of those things that I think for consistency's sake across your districts -- what is typically required when somebody submits rezoning in а communities is that the property owners of all properties involved have to the sign the rezoning application, it's their permission to -- typically an agent, somebody representing a project, that they agree and are on board with submission. rezoning So this the amendment is to recommend that that requirement be removed, that have to be under -- that would not have to be under the same ownership at the time rezoning submission. And this is just to help reduce the risk to a developer coming in and investing money and property that may not actually be able to be rezoned, and baq of, you then they end up with a having to maintain that property not but actually be able to do what they wanted to with it and planned on doing with it. So, I'm here for your questions on that. It's a relatively simple amendment but, again, I welcome your

Go

is

So

1	
2	

feedback and thoughts.

ALLEN:

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I have a question.

3

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: You've got a question?

4

ahead.

COMMISSIONER

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

anyone -- anyone, a developer or anyone, could come in and submit a rezoning and they would not be required to own the property, so they could put my house in that ---

the

currently drafted, I'm -- this is my -- I'm

just trying to make sure I understand, that

way

that

this

As long as you sign the application ---MS. KANE: **COMMISSIONER ALLEN:** --- in that rezoning. it require that there be a signature here? Ι didn't see that.

MS. KANE: It's just a requirement in general for any rezoning application because it's just part the process. I couldn't sit here rezone, you know, my -- some -- my neighbor's property without their approval. In terms of application requirements, it is an application requirement for signatures of property owners for all zoning.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you.

Public Hearing - Ordinance to Amend the Land

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	-
Τ	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
	0
	1
	2
2	

24

25

Management Ordinance to Modify the Eligibility

for Designation for the Planned Development and
the Flexible Design Zoning Districts:

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Any -- public hearing, any
 questions?

- MR. JAMES: Back again, sorry, just for verification. So, the -- if I understand what you just said, all properties involved would have to get sign off, does that include properties that are affected, I mean, what is the definition of involved ---
- MS. KANE: It's just the properties that are being rezoned themselves.
- MR. JAMES: So, if a property is directly adjacent and affected negatively by this rezoning action, that property owner would not necessarily have any say or even an avenue for a say in the -- in that rezoning?
- MS. KANE: So there's always going to be a public hearing process through the Planning Commission and Town Council, state law requires adjacent property owners are notified and a public hearing is held and the public has the ability to express their grievances (ph).

MR. JAMES: Okay, all right. Thank you.



1 2

MS. CHEATHAM: I just had a quick question, if I could.

3

MS. KANE: Sure.

4 5

6

7

8

9

MS. CHEATHAM: Good evening, my is Linda name Cheatham and I'm a property owner on 29th I quess my question is that the Avenue South. property owner has to sign the application, is that application a public document so that -to Commissioner Allen's question, somebody just couldn't put my name on the property and I might be out of town or might be senile because

10

I tend to be sometimes and not know it?

12

11

So, all applications would be a MS. KANE: Right.

13 14

public record?

15

16

17

18

19

MS. CHEATHAM: And I would be notified, I mean, after it's -- after my signature -- my real signature is on it, I would be notified that I have applied for rezoning? I guess I'm trying -- I mean, I think that there are a number of

20 21

properties in the Town that are held by old people as myself, as well as, property owners

22

who don't live here and so my concern if -- is

23

if they don't actually do -- ask -- personally

24 25

ask for the rezoning and someone could give them an application that I might not know it.

		TOWN OF ATLANTIC BEACH 43
1	MS.	KANE: Fraud can happen anywhere
2	MS.	CHEATHAM: Okay.
3	MS.	KANE: so if that's the question is
4	MS.	CHEATHAM: That's the question. Yeah, I think -
5		
6	MS.	KANE: now, in terms of and that's why
7		public record is important
8	MS.	CHEATHAM: Right.
9	MS.	KANE: having those signatures on file.
10	MS.	CHEATHAM: Right.
11	MS.	KANE: In terms of notifications, all we send
12		out mail-outs to all the property owners, you
13		know, and I think that if
14	MS.	CHEATHAM: To the address of record and to any
15		other address that they might
16	MS.	KANE: It would be the address of the of the
17		parcel owner
18	MS.	CHEATHAM: Okay, thank you.
19	COM	MISSIONER STEVENS: Anybody else?
20	MS.	FARAH: I just I need clarification as well.
21		So, this is basically
22	MR.	QUATTLEBAUM: State your name please for the

24

25

record ---

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KANE:

and there's empty lots across from us. So, is this basically saying that those empty lots across from us, they can sign off on this as well and they could be parking lots across the street from us?

That is not what this amendment is about.

This particular amendment is specific to two zoning districts, the Planned Development District and the Flexible Design District, they're gonna be your two most intense zoning districts, and the recommendation for the amendment is to confirm that they do not have to -- those properties do not have to be under the same ownership. It may be three different owners that are all agreed that they can rezone their property.

MS. FARAH: Right. So, if somebody builds something on 30th Street, they could have a parking lot on 32nd Street?

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Not in R2.

MS. KANE: It all depends on the districts themselves so --

(Inaudible background noise.)

MS. KANE: --- on the site so -- and this is not what this amendment is about at all.



		TOWN OF ATLANTIC BEACH
1	MS.	FARAH: It's not?
2	MS.	KANE: It is not.
3	MS.	FARAH: So, this is affecting 30th Street?
4	MS.	KANE: This is if someone is submitting a
5		rezoning request for the Planned Development
6		District or Flexible Design District they
7		are two districts that don't exist in Town
8		right now, nobody is zoned these districts at
9		this time
10	MS.	FARAH: Right, right. So, it's basically taking
11		a resident parcel and making it into being able
12		to rezone it into a parking lot?
13	MS.	KANE: Not at all. So it just
14	FEM	ALE SPEAKER: No
15	MS.	KANE: it's just changing ownership. So,
16		right now the requirement in the Land
17		Management Ordinance is that for a rezoning to
18		these two particular districts, that they have
19		to be under the same ownership
20	MS.	FARAH: Right, right
21	MS.	KANE: so you say it would be you and you
22		owned the adjacent property next to you and the
23		adjacent property next to you
24	MS.	FARAH: Right.
25	мс	KANF: he eligible but if it was you your

MS. KANE: --- be eligible, but if it was you, your

1	
_	

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

sister and your mother, you couldn't apply.

MS. FARAH: Right. So basically, in the long run is the same thing, that somebody across the street from me could -- because if they build a place down on 30th Street and they wanted to parking across the street from us, they could apply for this ---

MS. KANE: A parking lot has to be an allowable use in the district ---

# (Inaudible background noise.)

MS. KANE: --- and the R2 District ---

# (Inaudible background noise.)

MS. KANE: --- an allowed use.

MS. FARAH: Okay. I'm just -- I was just asking.

MS. KANE: Yep.

MS. FARAH: Okay, thank you. Sorry.

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Motion to amend -- another question?

MR. BOOKER: I already introduced myself. I have a question for understanding. So we're talking about two parcels, more than one parcel I'd say, and so one person own one -- owns one parcel, another individual owns the second parcel, could they individually apply rezoning?

1

.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

^

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KANE: So -- all right, if ---

MR. BOOKER: So, I -- you know, you have a lot -- a set of lots over here and you have a set of lots over there, one person owns this, one person owns that, what you're saying is that this person is collaborating with this person

MS. KANE: Right.

MR. BOOKER: --- and signing the same application for rezoning. And so my question is, could this individual have application for an rezoning and this one have application an separate and apart?

MS. KANE: So the challenge is -- is that for both the Planned Development District and the Flexible Design District, they have to be a master plan development so that means that the Planning Commission and Council has to review an overall conceptual plan to the project and if you've got, you know, Joe Number 1 and Joe Number 2 submitting two different applications, it's not one cohesive document. These are actually -- these particular districts are adopted as their own section of the Ordinance, they have their owndesign

1	requirements typically, height restrictions,
2	setback requirements, they are required to be
3	contiguous, they have to be directly adjacent
4	to one another in order to rezone to these
5	districts and but, you know, I would not
6	we couldn't pass go if they were submitted
7	separately.
8	ND DOOKED. Dut in this work only not

- MR. BOOKER: But in this particular case -- and not
   particulars, but what we're talking about the
   hotel ---
- MS. KANE: They are contiguous, they're across the street from one another.
- MR. BOOKER: Oh, so that makes them ---
- MS. KANE: That makes them contiguous.
- MR. BOOKER: --- okay. So, using that example, one person owns one set -- property, another person owns another different property, could the person owning the parking lot property apply on their own for a parking lot?
- MS. KANE: It wouldn't fall under this Planned

  Development or FDD District, if they just

  wanted to be a commercial parking lot ---
- MR. BOOKER: Right.
- MS. KANE: --- that's a totally different district -

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	MR. BOOKER: They couldn't make it an FDD
2	MS. KANE: Right. And if you it has to be a
3	mixed-use, so it has to have a residential
4	component, a commercial component, and it's
5	gotta be able to meet all the other
6	requirements of the district on their
7	properties.
8	MR. BOOKER: So, in this particular case, because
9	there is a hotel development and the parking
10	that's associated with
11	(Inaudible background noise.)
12	MS. KANE: It's got to be one project.
13	MR. BOOKER: One project. Okay, thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Anybody else? Motion to
15	adopt the amendment, Land Management Ordinance
16	amendment.
17	COMMISSIONER VEREEN: Second.
18	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Roll call.
19	CLERK: Commissioner Derrick Stevens
20	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Yea, yea.
21	CLERK: Commissioner Timothy Vereen?
22	COMMISSIONER VEREEN: Yes.
23	CLERK: Commissioner Esco McFadden?
24	COMMISSIONER McFADDEN: Negative.
25	CIFDK. Commissioner Deterrossia McNeil?

Commissioner Poterressia McNeil?

CLERK:

1 COMMISSIONER MCNEIL: No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CLERK: Commissioner Kathryn Allen?

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: No.

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Motion has been denied.

MR. QUATTLEBAUM: Yes.

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Motion denied.

MS. KANE: And so that recommendation will also go
to Town Council ---

**COMMISSIONER STEVENS:** Okay.

MS. KANE: --- recommendation to deny. So, we're gonna move into the thing that I'm sure a lot folks are here for. Just in terms clarification, just want to provide an overview the process, so right about now, with proposed Black Pearl οf the Atlantic development, they are in the pre-application review process. This is required for a Planned Development District and a Flexible Desian District, which they are requesting to become Flexible Design District. pre-The application review process is of more а technical review of the Planning Commission to make sure that the project is ready to pass go, to submit a full rezoning application. meet the dimensional more SO does it

	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

2

requirements, does it meet the uses, things of
that nature. At this particular stage, I just
want everyone in the public to be aware we're
not at the stage yet for a public hearing, this
is more for informational purposes and for the
Planning Commission to provide feedback to the
applicant, and for the public to become aware
of the project more in depth. Once a full
rezoning is submitted, then adjacent property
owners will be notified, they'll be a public
hearing and all of that, but we are still kind
of in a preliminary stage, and that's something
that just continue to be able to follow it.
The locations here are off of Ocean Boulevard
first, and you can see the two properties and,
in accordance to state law, as to what is
defined as contiguous, they are across the
street from one another and they are deemed
contiguous. In order to be a be able to
apply for this Flexible Design District, the
underlying zoning district has to be a
Waterfront 1 or a Waterfront 2 Zoning District
so, at this point in time, these properties are
already zoned Waterfront 1. The uses that are
being recommended within this project are

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
	4
1	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	0
	1
2	
	3
2	4

25

1

actually already allowable uses, there are no new uses being mixed in here. And what it is, essentially, is a -- what we would refer to as a density bonus, a density bonus is provided through the Flexible Design District, meaning get higher building heights and flexibility with the design of the project than they would under a standard zoning district, but there's a trade off. The developer has to public able to provide an exchange for amenities back to the community, and that is how they -- that's how that trade-off occurs. The types of things that have to be reviewed at this stage is, you know, the boundaries of the proposed project, the land uses, the dimensional, the -- and density and the height limitations -- we have to review what those public amenity features are to ensure that they meet the requirements of a public amenity, we'll look layout at lot and configuration, densities, the amount of open site, and are those space the public amenities -- there's actually a dollar value that has to come into play so -- for those public amenities. They -- the developer cannot

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	

25

just hand the Town money in exchange, that's not allowed, it has to be an actual public improvement, and it has to value, in this case, three percent of the development cost of the project. It's rather significant, and I'll get into that -- a little more detail. the Planning Commissioners, just for reference, we'd be looking at page 69 of your packet. what -- the Planning Commission's role right now is just to evaluate this conceptual plan and ensure that it's eligible to move forward to that full rezoning request, and they can feedback on if and -- if and what provide changes may be necessary for the project to be ready to move forward and then, if they have any specific recommendation on -- if a -- if project needs to be resubmitted to Planning Commission as a secondary conceptual review or if the project can go forward to the Planning Commission as a full rezoning request. The requirements for submittal at this presubmission stage are definitely more conceptual in nature and, once a full rezoning request is submitted, there's text that comes through it that is actually adopted through ordinance that

1

specifies all of the requirements to ensure that when the project comes in for construction that it meets all of the requirements, that's something that the Town will hold on record. Now, if there is just minor changes to the project, it's something that the Planning Commission definitely can deem to be able to have them make -- have them go forward with making changes and ensuring that they're made the time of the rezoning request. So, again, looking at page 68 and 69 οf your packets, details what that pre-application review packet materials should entail, and that excerpt from t.he Land Management is an Ordinance, this is a check-the-boxes to ensure that the project meets these requirements. will go through a review of the project from the technical standpoint and then, should the Planning Commission want to be able to hear the developer, you'd bе from able (inaudible) them here after me. The project itself is located off of Ocean Boulevard and for 30th, so we've got a proposal here parking garage on this secondary street and, on the beachfront side, for an oceanfront tower.

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	0
	1
2	
	3
2	4

25

1

That oceanfront tower is proposed to 21 stories and with 228 condo-tel units, so it's a mixture of condominiums, short-term rentals and hotel units. On the bottom two floors, there entails number of a mixture of uses, and mixture οf uses is things like dining, restaurant space, conference room space, proposal for a museum, even proposal for some space for the Town to be able to use for public safety purposes. The project -- for the project to meet the parking requirements, the garage -- parking garage proposed across the street is proposed at 11 stories, that is to meet the collective parking requirements of the entire development. They are providing just a few more spaces than needed just to accommodate because people will be coming there to go the restaurants and be able to dine, to utilize the conference space, that may not be in the building as -- some of staying things that they are proposing, you'll see here, is improving a public parking area beach access, and they have a number of things they're integrating into the including sidewalks and ensuring that public

1

And just for scope, this is an beach access. elevation of the project you can see here, and this is a requirement of the district is that the non-residential, non-co-tel uses be those аt least those first two floors οf the This project does -- this building. portion does come into place with the zone, so there may be some flexibility needed to be incorporated here because the flood zone does come into play as to what can be put in those areas in terms of flood proofing meeting federal flood proofing requirements so something to account for there. just are already allowed, Again, the uses the Waterfront 2 District was intended to be more οf high-density residential mixed-use development and, in order to ao to Flexible Design District, there is supposed to be kind of crosswalk between the -- it's not only what is submitted, but also looking at your Comprehensive Plan and your Master Plan, especially when it comes to those public amenities, like, do they need things that the Town already knew that you needed? The types of allowed uses are multi-family, second and

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

1

upper for residential hotel, motel, inns, short-term, interval occupancy, rentals, residential uses, government offices, parks, retail, most entertainment including dining and recreational type uses. The project itself proposes 168 hotel rooms, 36 short-term rental units and 24 condo units, restaurant, spa, a community and conference center meeting space and a Gullah Geechee museum. And that is kind of just like a broad stroke so I will tell you in terms of uses, all of them are allowed. looking at what is allowed by the underlying district, you'll see here I've checked off in blue -- right now, even if this rezoning didn't go forward, this project is allowed to build up to 120 feet, or 12 stories in height, that is by right, it would not have to come to Planning Commission, it would not have to come to Council, and it has number of things а detailing how much the building can cover the site, how much impervious surfaces are allowed, and natural areas how much open space are intended to stay on the property and how intense the development can be, which is reflection of floor area ratio. Under its

1

2

current zoning and the multi-family limitations, it could have up to 35 units. Now, hotel space does not count against the density requirements per the Land Management Ordinance. What the developer is proposing here is to go for this three percent public amenity exchange to be able to get to a 200 foot tall building and also no more than stories, the district would allow for a 7.0 percent maximum building coverage, 8 0 percent impervious surface, 15 percent minimum open space and a four as being the maximum floor area ratio which equates to a little over 200,000 square feet of space. That is not hallways, that's not utility rooms orrestrooms, things of that nature; that's really residential and iust the hotel themselves. And the underlying district, would allow for up to 114 dwelling units, those would be permanent residences. The project itself right now is kind of on the threshold of being really close to meeting that height requirement and you'll see -it's on recommendations -- and I apologize, I scratched through it. The project will end up needing to

	Τ	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
	4	
2		

1

lower one story in order to meet the height requirements in the floor area requirements but once that one-floor reduction project would occurs, this meet those requirements. In terms of impervious surfaces, it is slightly above what is allowed, but the developer is proposing to use pervious pavers that allows the water, stormwater, infiltrate, and so that would negate that that portion being over -- four percent over its maximum. So the project's actually proposing that its driveway up to the front and drop-off location be utilizing pervious pavers. As part of the text of the Ordinance when this goes through, Planning Commission and Council -- that that would have to be spelled out in the Ordinance to ensure that that happens, that's not an issue because that's something that can be addressed. It does meet the maximum building coverage requirements, it does meet the minimum open space requirements and because it only has 50 units of dwelling units as opposed to hotel units, it does meet the density requirements. In terms of setbacks, the underlying zoning has some pretty rough,

	_
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

1

very heavy setbacks -- I'm not gonna pretend it doesn't so -- and these are things that, you know, the -- this particular property here, which is not the oceanfront side, the front setback is actually required to be feet and when you think about the size of these lots -- and in this particular case, the total lot area between the two parcels is -- I think it's 1.2 acres -- you end up making it a lot -essentially undevelopable at that stage. So, the developer is proposing their own setbacks with this project to ensure that the site can actually be built, and that's just something -actually it's greater than 60, 60 is this -it's this oceanfront, 75 would be -- and this is -- has a triple front, so it fronts three different roads so we take 75, 75 and 75 and you end with a tiny little space in the middle that may or may not be able to be developed. So, just something to take into consider that, if this project didn't move forward with this particular zoning designation, whoever ends up with it is probably gonna have to ask for a variance so those are things that I would -will definitely be looking for and advising you

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all on. The developer is proposing some different setbacks here and you'll see them written out, but it's proposed -- visually, you can see it better on the conceptual plan that you have in your packet, to be able to see how those setbacks ---

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: What page is that? What page

MS. KANE: Let's see. So the conceptual plan is a little bit further in your packet, and it is on page 82 of your packet.

**COMMISSIONER STEVENS:** Okay.

MS. KANE: Now, in relationship to the Master Plan -- and this comes -- this is an image directly from the Master Plan, it shows the 30th Avenue corridor and that, you know, this mainly being -- and encroaching towards the beachfront with some of the taller development further towards the beach. It proposes -- and you'll see this is actually one of those beach access areas right now that -- this being more of a gateway t.o board- -- a network of boardwalks, possibly a pier in the future so these were things that were envisioned during that In terms of the orientation to the frame.

	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

1

2

3

beachfront that these, you know, you could possibly do parking downstairs and, of course, the retail and dining component and then the hotel space above it. So, I just wanted to be able to provide you some visual references to what's in the Master Plan, the things that the Comprehensive Plan that was adopted more recently also that the supports and Land Ordinance through Management its standards in the zoning district portion supports as well. The Master Plan also calls out these areas as being walkable and -- with sidewalks, street trees and just more of inviting downtown (inaudible). So, I wanted to be able to convey that so everyone here and the public was aware of some of the components the Master Plan. In terms of the types of public amenities that the project is recommending -- and they are recommending at a minimum of \$2.4 Million, they are actually anticipating they're gonna be spending at least two to three times that amount being able to provide public amenities back to the community, that entails having community conference rooms within the hotel and the Black

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

1

Pearl Museum which would be the Gullah Geechee (inaudible). museum In those cases, would have to be derestricted locations, those would be spaces that -- moving forward, if it was Town space or if it was supposed to be used for public meetings, there would have to be some kind of condition put into place before the building was -- received its certificate of occupancy so that's just something for you all to be aware. Some -- the project also proposes restaurant café and spa and sauna, Ι personally do not feel that that meets the intent of public amenities, to me that is -those -- but -- regardless, I do think project as a whole is going to meet the dollar amount so that is something to be able to look at and talk to the developer about. In terms of -- it also requires -- or would accommodate -- the parking garage could possibly build up higher in the future to allow for additional public parking. And then, visually, you'll see on your conceptual plan, the allowance for 27 public parking spaces, beach access parking, is contingent upon South Carolina and that Department of Transportation approving

	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

1

2

encroachment permit to those areas. So those are things that I want you all to be aware of. There is a checklist within your packet, that's something that I want you to be able to go through in terms of what the requirements versus what is provided, I did call out things that do need some tweaking to them, and I will kind of culminate that in some of the Now, this may not things here. be inclusive, you know, at this time, some of the things that I'm seeing is the maximum height and the maximum number of stories not being exceeded, the floor area ratio being reduced to it. doesn't exceed t.he make sure maximum threshold. The text would incorporate how it's going to incorporate impervious surface address impervious surfaces through pervious pavers. The text also clearly defines setbacks for each building. And then, I'm sure that's a lot of interest for the public, so a traffic impact study and a beach industry and access management plan area actually required because of Land regardless the Management Ordinance but they aren't required at time, until the time that they actually go to

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

1

put a shovel in the ground. I do think that's something that the Planning Commission and Town Council need to consider up front with rezoning so you know if there's any offsite impacts, especially in regard to traffic, and that would -- the public amenities that the -there be deemed restrictions associated with those, and those are all things that are already required per the Land Management Ordinance when they submit the rezoning those things that would take are into wе do think аt the time οf account. Ι rezoning, we need some confirmation from South Carolina Department of Transportation that they are allow -- that they would allow that beach access area to become public parking because technically that is South Carolina Department of Transportation public right-of-way and so, if we as a body, you know, end up recommending that that be one of their public amenities, we need confirmation. The same with the ability for the ingress and egress through that area the Town doesn't own that space because there's actually an access for light delivery trucks and things of that nature that would go

1

through that beach access parking area. In the -- I also think that -- and this is something that even Mr. Quattlebaum and I can work on, is coordinating to ensure neighboring fire departments can response to a call with building of this height. I don't suspect that it would be an issue because there are other tall buildings of this nature surrounding this area, but we just need some verification and that could easily come in the form of a letter. then there's slew o f all And а other requirements that happen for the full rezoning want to circle back process. Wе t.o conceptual plan so, again, now that I've kind of gone through the slew of all the different design standards there that you can again see it kind of with a fresh set of eyes, so this is that public amenity, public parking area, that is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and the Master Plan to be able to ensure that that is public space and developed as public space and, you know, there are a number of things here, especially with like the museum space -and then just the Town does -- obviously has some needs for public space. I don't know if

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

24

25

you have questions for me or if you want to hand it over to the development lead for the project so they can talk further about?

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Have any questions?

(Inaudible background noise.)

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: No questions.

(Inaudible background noise.)

MS. KANE: You all, bear with us, we're gonna switch out computers here real quick.

(Inaudible background noise.)

# Rezoning Pre-Application Black Pearl of the Atlantic:

MR. MORANT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

My name is Charles Morant, I am the developer of this project and I just want to show you a little background, short video, to give you some context to what we are about and where we are from and how that context between where we are now and what we propose to do here at the project is to improve Atlantic Beach. I just want to show you a small (inaudible).

# (Video presentation plays.)

CHARLES MORANT - DEVELOPER: Now, this is just the start of what we want to share with you. Our architect and our team leaders will put emphasis on -- for the design of the project,

how the -- it's strategically designed, and we will answer any questions that you may have concerning the project going forward. Dwayne Dancy is our lead architect, Erica Morant is our person who will fill in the benefits of this project to the Town and our legal adviser is here to answer any questions that -- will be pertinent or germane to this presentation so, Mr. Dancy?

23

24

25

10

DWAYNE DANCY - ARCHITECT AND TEAM: How's everybody So we're here to present our proposal doing? for Atlantic Beach. I'll try my best to speak slowly and (inaudible) as possible. I'll go through the team and introduce myself. My name is Dwayne Dancy, I'm а licensed architect, 20 years' experience. I'm licensed the State of South Carolina under principal of a boutique firm called the Isoparm do both commercial Design Group, we and residential projects. I have a Bachelor of Architecture from Howard University Master's in Advanced Architectural Design from University. I'm also Columbia а college professor and I'm a father and I have ties to Atlantic Beach. We have assembled an all-star

	_	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	

1

team. Though I am in Brooklyn, all of family's from South Carolina, as well as Mr. Morant's, but most οf the team well, actually all the team outside of myself, we want to make sure that everyone is actually here so that the jobs that are created through all of the consultants, we didn't want to bring in an outside structural engineer so we tapped Ashleigh Weatherly who has done I believe 80 to 90 percent of the towers here, we have G3 here, basically -- I'm not gonna read through all the names, but all the consultants and engineers on the project, we intend to try to hire everyone that is local. And before we begin, I just want to say -- I just ask that everyone try to think forward and we'll try to do the same to propose unique design solutions try to to unique problems that we believe you guys have in Atlantic Beach. So with that being said, we'll start here, we know the lay of the land

2122

# (Inaudible background noise.)

23

24

25

DWAYNE DANCY - ARCHITECT AND TEAM: --- these two
red parcels are ours as you can see where our
parking structure is touching 30th. This is



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the survey for the front portion, the front portion is approximately 30,000 square feet. Since we're over 20,000 square feet, we're eligible to go for the flexible district. Here is a survey for the rear portion. As you know, the lots in Atlantic Beach are typically 50 by 150, which is 7,500 square feet. In the rear for the parking, we have an assemblage of three. This blue portion here ---

# (Inaudible background noise.)

DWAYNE DANCY - ARCHITECT AND TEAM: --- where our project is located, and I just want to read the lower portion here for those that can't see it, it says the Waterfront 2, the intent of this district is to provide for pedestrian oriented, beachfront high-density residential and mixed-use development. Mixed-use development should be required. Uses are intended to be composed of retail, dining, nightclub and Building height is permitted to cultural uses. reach 200 feet and setbacks vary by height to provide for the preservation of an ocean view. The district also provides for development bonuses, see page 113, but that -- it's really not on 113. So, this is just a setback view of

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

1

where our site is in context with some of the other developments in the area. The one thing I just want to note here is the size of Bay Watch, which is also 18 stories, but when you zoom out from this, we know that the next step will be beginning to engage with the FAA, and we try to position our building in a way where it wouldn't compete with other towers here so this is just a list of 20 other towers in the Myrtle Beach area. As you can see, some here are 29 stories (inaudible) much taller. One thing I want to point is in the far column is the WF2 Zone, Flexible District, you can see that it's 200 feet, no more than 20 (inaudible) stories. Leigh basically (inaudible) so I won't bore you with that but speak bit more to the (inaudible). а We've been working on this for about three years, me and my team, and Mr. Morant's been at for over two decades. Ιt had this there's been a lot of back and forth, trying to resolve a lot of the technical issues. much understand a lot of the concerns and we tried our best to try to address them the best way possible. This is just a little diagram,

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	0
	1
2	
2	
2	4

25

1

I think this is a back and forth between me and Leigh Kane (ph), we're sort of, kinda just trying to set the stage for the antiquated, or the old ordinance, if you can imagine pushing back 75 feet on the front and having maintain 30 or 40, 50 -- 40 to 50 feet in the back, it's not a viable piece of land so think that these things definitely need to be Speaking of setbacks, revisited. several buildings that already to not adhere to the setbacks, quite a few actually. Again, this is the Comprehensive Plan and looking at upper view, there's just а couple of sections that Ι want you to focus on. Setbacks, which we will address, we believe that -- if you look at the Comprehensive Plan, the section shows I believe 10 feet of sidewalk to try to activate Atlantic, we provide 20 feet on the frontage and for the front of the tower, actually providing 25 feet which believe is more than adequate especially when you account for a sidewalk going in front of Also, the parking requirement, it took that. us quite a bit of time to go back and forth to try our best to meet the parking requirement,

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

1

and we kinda got -- we kinda got there, this is with everyone in the building at same exact time, οf restaurants completely full, everyone's home, which would never happen, but this parking lot actually meets that requirement. We know that we were on the edge with coverage so one of the things wanted to start to investigate was looking at some sustainable options as Leigh basically spoke of, introducing pervious pavers and, in a simple sense, most concrete is impervious, basically meaning it can't -- water So if you can imagine concrete penetrate it. that's kind of spaced out in a way -- or kind of acts as а sponge to not create excessive runoff, we want to try to introduce, you know, beautiful pavers in a way that would allow runoff. Another area where we pretty close was open areas and coverages and grass and things like that, and what we would like to do is introduce green roofs wherever possible, there's albedo and what they call green-island effect where if you stand concrete on really hot days, it gets really, really hot so we were hoping and thinking that

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

1

green on top of the parking structure would be like an ideal solution to try to help some of the grassy areas. (Inaudible.) Again, taking an aerial view looking at Atlantic, these green spots basically denote where our structure is and we know, as we bring the utilities down 1 otAtlantic, knowing that а ofthe infrastructure here is antiquated, we believe that it will allow for some of the opportunity as we start to dredge things up that as we tap these larger pipes and things of that nature coming to our facility that the Town will be able to tap off of those, and that's a benefit for all and it's definitely needed for water, electrical, storm and sewer, the entire Town basically benefits from that. In additional and looking at the Comprehensive Plan, outside of this door (ph), we would definitely like to activate 30th -- Atlantic by adding some sort of greenery, maybe some screens, try to tree line the street, try to beautify it --Obviously sidewalks, as we provide things. maybe a more -- more of a beach access which is definitely gonna be a community benefit. one other thing that we've been investigating

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

1

is in the time of tornados and hurricanes and things of that nature, this may be, as you look at these graphics, that we can bring some of our utilities below ground like the electrical that would be a perfect time for us investigate that. So now, I'm gonna kind of loosely talk about some of the solutions, some of the problems and some of the things we've been hearing and try to address it all, I guess, in one take. So, this map that you're looking at, as we know that flood water tides, things are rising so -- let me see if I can get all the way to ... I don't think you can see my mouse, but our site is in this lower right corner and the mean height is 12 so just wanted to speak to this because I saw of writeups basically speaking that saying that our building was 21 levels high. We never intended for it to be viewed as 21 high, the way that the Ordinance written, it basically says you can build have (inaudible) levels three feet from the mean height so we did kind of look at the first floor as counting that in the 20 so, in our head, it was always 20 habitable floors but in

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	
	3
2	4

25

1

speaking to everyone and speaking to Leigh Kane, we know that there was a bit of a gray area there and -- to alleviate and try to take the path of least resistance, we -- you know, wanted to actually, you know, lower So, in short, to memorialize what's happening now, we have two lower levels amenities with 18 levels of residential units for a total of 20 floors; so we were at 228, now we're at 216. The height was always below the 200, it was 189.4; for now, we're at 180. And if you recall the other slide, we should be below. many towers that are vicinity -- we're hoping that that should only be at the FAA (inaudible). Another issue that was raised was the FAR, FAR is an acronym for floor area ratio. Essentially what happens is they take all of the lots that you own, they add them together, say your building can be X size so in our instance, it's four. So this slide sort of kind of memorializes what's happening here so the 211,000 is where we need So right now, if you take our to be, right? development, we're roughly at 225,000 which we're short, means that we need to reduce close

	_
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

1

13,000 square feet from our building in order to comply. So the way we intend to do that is -- firstly, let me state that in the Ordinance, there's certain portions of the building that end up -- that does not count towards the square footage, they only count habitable or areas that are that you're conditioning things the space SO like stairwells, corridors, mechanical rooms, shafts, things like that, wouldn't count towards that. We designed the plan -- if you look at these areas that are kind of denoted the heavy lines, these are areas don't actually have a use as of yet so the idea is that as we begin to work with the mechanical engineers, the electrical team, that we'll figure out where electrical closets are going, we're gonna figure out where wet walls and this buys us some space but, in going short, trying to find 1,200 (sic) square feet is not gonna be a problem, we will come below the height, we will also come below the FAR requirement. I believe at this point, all of you have read the narrative so I won't bore you by reading this but I would just want to say

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

1

that we're going down to 20 floors now, there's gray area about being 21 floors. second portion speaks to the community benefit, I'm gonna kind of walk into that with the plan a little bit later. As we said, the developer has definitely vowed to spend three percent plus, like he said, he has a vested interest in seeing Atlantic Beach succeed so we're concerned with meeting (inaudible) requirement. So now I'm going to speak briefly to the actual plan that we submitted for everybody, I just want to preface it by saying that what we're showing is, like Leigh said, conceptual; we're not saying at all that this is the actual floor plan of the building, at this point we're kind of doing like a (inaudible), more like concept of the design ideas that we intend to implement as we go to the next stage hopefully and refine things with the design team. left area -- it's usually -- when you think of most of the towers, or the buildings, on Ocean Boulevard, did my first walkthrough as Ι basically, many of the buildings are heavy, very static and seem very monolithic, right? And I noticed some loading happening on

25

1

the front of the building, which is almost like an eyesore to me so ... The right side of our building, which you'll see denoted in red, is owned by an adjacent owner so we couldn't have our loading there, we don't want the loading in the front, we can't have it on the south side because the water is there, which basically leaves the left portion which is in blue so the cold area is the storage, mechanical areas, and some parking will happen on the left with the loading. Obviously, the center portion in yellow will be the greeting, and the right portion which will be closer to Atlantic will be deemed more as a pedestrian corridor to try to connect people to 30th. We kind of -- it'll help with the pedestrian access down to the beach and also connect people to 30th. go up on the second floor, we just wanted to add more amenities, we sliced the space -- and when we think of community and the benefit, we do want to adhere to the Ordinance but we also wanted to think of things that the Town, you know, would need so we do have some for museum which carved out а has hallmark of the design from the very, very

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
1	7
	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
	2
2	
2	4

25

1

beginning. Say there -- we believe some bars, some cafés, some community space, restaurants, larger community rooms that can be bifurcated for different size groups that need to meet, with like NanaWalls that can open and close, and we're gonna have a lot of people here so we're gonna need some sort of luxury spa that we know that the women will love, right? Ι mean that's what -- and as you look at residential floor plan as of right, the lines basically indicate fire separation walls, there's about 12 -- well, there are 12 units per floor, there's a mix of ones through fours to allow for a -- single individuals as well as large, you know, larger families. They'll have sweeping views, large bedrooms -- yeah, pretty (inaudible). And looking at the back part so -- one thing I would like to note is that, I mean, it's a parking garage, not much say other than we're trying our best adhere to the Comprehensive Plan and activate 30th Street, so what we decided to do was add a bunch of retail mom and pop sort of stores here, these can be opened to make grocery store type of places or they can be

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

small mom and pop type of places, whatever
they're deemed whatever the Town deems are
suitable. It's just parking. Now, when we
first started, I guess I wanted to make history
(inaudible) by trying to memorialize when the
Town was established I guess in 1934, if I'm
not mistaken, and then my original tower was 34
floors and, at that time, you know, developers
wanted to try to bring in as much money as
possible so the idea was to try to have a
hundred percent condos. Speaking to everyone
and understanding the lay of the land, we now
only have 24 condos, right, which is a super
reduction from where we were, and right now
we're at 20 floors. So I guess the thing to
the thing about as we started to put everything
together is we wanted to pose questions that
were kind of posed to us, can you guys actually
go for a nice stroll at this point, can you go
for a nice run, can you buy groceries in your
own community, why are we not pooling our
resources? If someone wants to come here and
perform, do they have anywhere to go? Right?
So these are all things that we thought about,
just kind of echoing what was in the video.

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

1

So, we believe that this development will begin to sort of be like a catalyst for development, right? We will begin to beautify some of the streets, we're gonna add some beach access, add parking lots. We do believe that you shouldn't be going elsewhere to buy your groceries, why not have a small space here where you can buy your groceries in your own community. Why not have a small credit union where things can be and maybe people can borrow money, pooled right? We believe that the -- this development will be such that conferences can happen here. The last time that we were here, Mr. Morant and I and our entire team -- it was a few of us -we all stayed at Bay Watch. Why is that money not being here for people who are coming here? We have to start being forward-thinking and not allowing all the money to go elsewhere. know that there's -- roughly there's weddings that happen in Myrtle Beach and we believe that with this venue with modern stateof-the-art facilities that we'll be able to capture -- even if it's just five percent, three percent of that number, we'll bring in tremendous revenue to the Town. This goes

	_
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

1

without saying, everybody has to relax, right? If people are having -- if the AKAs or Deltas are having something here, they're gonna want to come downstairs and be able to be pampered in our own facilities as opposed to going elsewhere to do it, they can do it right The Town needs to come together, most here. things happen when we all kind of collaborate and work together, in large groups and small groups, and we believe that this will be the perfect space for that to happen. We said that the museum was always a hallmark to what we've been trying to do, and we don't intend to be the owners of this, we know that there's plenty of people that are already here focused on the Gullah Geechee culture, we're providing a space and trying to be like a conduit to them to kind of build synergy, but it's very important for generation to connect with the older generation so that a lot of these traditions and the heritages, things are maintained, and that's what this museum intends to be. We need cuisine would like it here, to bе wе if there's highlighted so chefs here, should have space here, restaurants, small mom

1

and pop maybe, things of that nature, this goes on without saying. I kind of wanted to get into this was when people come here, they should be able to stay here, spend some sort of their -- spend their money here, they shouldn't be going elsewhere and I think that that's the thing that we want to try to focus on. I think that what good is it having a hotel or Brenda's kitchen if Brenda doesn't have anybody to eat there, right? We have to start -- try to bring people to our town and try to keep them here. Some of the benefits, obviously, we want to maintain the historical significance, and this will raise everyone's project tax revenue, We hope that the money will come in right? seeking to hire full-time police or more police to enforce, right? We do intend to have full security at our building, and this developing of this is a catalyst, nothing but jobs, shortterm and permanent, right? So that would be good thing. New sidewalks -- there's none, pretty much, if there are, dilapidated, right? Underground utilities. do know for the Wе final that we will have to submit a traffic study but, as of right now, the traffic is

22

23

24

25

basically a ghost town, right? There's not much to do as far as an impact study now, but we do know that these are a requirement, we do fully intend to comply with that, and we will have our own trash pickup to not impact the City. Oh, so, if you guys have a phone, if you scan this QR code, it'll show you like a little view of what the interiors of the units could look like, the thought is that we know that people are at different price points and we want to make people that actually live here to afford prime units, but we also want to have really, really nice units so if you can't scan it now, we'll maybe send it out so you guys can take a look at, you should be able to kind of rotate on your phone, see the view ---

(Inaudible background noise.)

DWAYNE DANCY - ARCHITECT AND TEAM: I'm gonna go
forward -- sorry. So ---

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: He moved it. He moved it.

DWAYNE DANCY - ARCHITECT AND TEAM: --- here's a
 beach view of our tower in context, maybe just
 (inaudible) the height of the Bay Watch.
 Here's another view, we actually got drone
 footage, trying to get a sense of the

1

contextual implications of our building and how it would impact the surrounding area. just some visuals o f what. this could potentially look like if we see -- let me zoom in a little bit -- what this could be, right? It shouldn't just be something that's nice for Black Bikers' Weekend, right? It should be fully -- if you could imagine 30th just having stores, fully activated, people full οf sitting out in the summer eating. Putting ideas together, this is a view of what the pool side Jacuzzi area could look like. This is a shot of this. This potentially is what the front can look like. Now, obviously this isn't the finished building, but a drop off, some sort of brise soleil, some sort of contextual to bring people something that graphics could be pretty cool. Obviously ... some more shots that was from the video from --I'll speak to this for one second. So, the here is that we're thinking something modern, something sleek, something that's timeless, sweeping views out -- the parking structure, irregardless of the height, we do want to break it up as much as we can with

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

1

panels and screens so it's not as intrusive. Adjacent properties that are next to us, would like to maybe have historical placards of things like -- we believe that the entire town should be historical not just inside building, there's plenty of history here and maybe some of the landowners can allow certain things to happen in certain areas. Here's a -another little view οf what this could potentially look like, looking down Ocean Boulevard. Here's activation o f the streetscaping with some sort of boardwalk, some sort of shrubbery, new trees coming down here on Ocean Boulevard but with lots of shops kind of like on the lower level, and I guess we'll kind of (inaudible) off of this, you guys know that there's plenty of developments that literally nothing. I remember when I was going to Howard, Atlanta (inaudible) was literally -was just trees there, you put one thing there, people start to come, they do this and then the town can kind of grow, and the idea is that, you know, every community needs people and every community needs revenue, put simply, you have basic services that must be maintained

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	
2	4
2	$\sqsubseteq$

1

and you have to have some sort of money for right? to come in, Ι completely understand the plight of those who think that this is gonna be intrusive or this eyesore and if we were proposing something that was on the oceanfront, I would agree with entire right? But you have to look to your left and you have to look to your right and look at the entire strip of what everyone else is doing, I don't think it's the time where we right? just sit and be idle, in my opinion, Ι think that taking a sliver (ph) and allowing that be а catalyst for growth and development for all of us to benefit is the way to go. I believe that some of the people here may -- this may be their second house or they may be elsewhere, meaning that you're a little bit well-to-do but the -- when you pass this your children and your children's to children, we're going to need something, right? There has to be something here for us to see, something for us to come to, and it's gonna be up to us to set the stage for these things to happen, and we believe that the Black Pearl will be that sort of catalyst. So with that,

1

that's ---

2

3

CHARLES

DEVELOPER:

Thank you, sir.

If you have

(Inaudible.)

MORANT

4

DWAYNE DANCY - ARCHITECT AND TEAM:

anything else (inaudible).

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ERICA MORANT - DEVELOPMENT TEAM: I mean, touched on some of the selling points and the greater points and what we want to do is just ensure that we're not overshadowing, you know, this town has a lot of history, we want to ensure that we're preserving that in our development and also helping the community flourish. As Dwayne mentioned, thinking about the future generations, it's critical to think about development, it's critical to think forward and it's critical to really understand drive folks here. what's gonna Αs wе mentioned, looking at different groups, whether be wedding, whether it be a social it a organization, bringing that tourism in will have residual effects and residual positive effects on the community. We can bring in jobs, we can bring in other businesses and that systemically will continue to perpetuate for generations to come. Okay?

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

1

JIM GREEN - G3 ENGINEERING: Good afternoon, my name is Jim Green with G3 Engineering, we're civil engineers for the project. I want touch a little bit on what Dwayne said as far as utilities, putting that -- electrical lines underground, it's a big thing, you've all been hurricanes here, experienced the and those poles go down and everybody loses power then, you know, it takes -- and it's a safety issue, putting that underground negates a lot that issue. There are other things οf excuse me -- like you saw the parking lot on the south side of the building that we're --Leigh talked about where we'd have to get the DOT encroachment permit which -- that would be public parking for people who want to go to the beach, the -- you know, I envision -- whether you envision it or not, I don't know, but I envision beach walkovers and in -- to -- with and a ramp so that ADAs and people wheelchairs can make their way out to There could be potential I believe, the beach. lines, based water and sewer on conversations with the Town of North Myrtle who those lines, I believe those owns are

Τ.
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

25

1

sufficient to serve this but, should they not be, there is potential to upgrade those lines, bring more in. And then another thing that I think is -- I see as really important is the streetscape down that corridor, and you touched on that a little bit too, it's so many things to -- could be incorporated there, some pavers in the intersections of the road, street trees, like you said, plaques along the sidewalks, I think there are so many things that could be done here that are public amenities that could fall into that realm so ...

DWAYNE DANCY - ARCHITECT AND TEAM: That concludes
 our presentation.

CHARLES MORANT - DEVELOPER: Thank you, guys, for
 the presentation. We thank you for (inaudible)
 and we are -- we envision this project to cost
 about 80 to a hundred million dollars ---

MALE SPEAKER: Could you speak in the mike please?

estimated that this project will cost 80 to a hundred million dollars to complete. We have engaged and made modifications to our plans after we had interaction with the Town, and we have taken your concerns and incorporated those

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	0
2	
2	
	3
2	4

25

1

concerns and, at the beginning, our plans were for a hundred percent condominiums, we've reduced that down to 24 condominiums, Something that we can control that would okay? not shift the balance of electorate in We would like to provide you our -- the context of our involvement and our investment and where we come from, you know, we were -- we were born and raised here in South Carolina, educated in Georgetown County, we were educated here, grade school, primary grade school and college in Columbia, South Carolina, we are cut from the same cloth. We live in a historic community, a minority community called -- it's called Addisleigh Park in New York City, it's historic -- it's а landmark, preserved community that -- and we envision the thing for Atlantic Beach, it's a historical landmark community and we want to preserve that heritage and we want to build on that heritage and forward and develop move it while controlling our destiny. So, this is a subject that we have been working on for the last 20 We run four corporations out of New vears. York, profitable corporations, they -- those

corporations would be the source of revenue for

us to do what we are doing, we have -- we have

developed (inaudible) expertise over the years,

since 1986, we've been -- in this project -- in

this kind of development, and we think that it

goes a long way to showing where we come from

and where we want to go, okay? We're here with

you, we're willing to work and make adjustments

to accommodate your concerns and address those

concerns, but we think it is time for Atlantic

Beach to move, to move forward, to develop and

-- we think that this is the perfect

We thank you for your

time and attention. Any questions?

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Questions?

MALE SPEAKER: The meeting's adjourned.

opportunity to do that.

(Inaudible background noise.)

MS. KANE: You still have to make a recommendation.

(Inaudible background noise.)

MS. KANE: Okay, so just as a reminder to the public too is, again, we are still at the very preliminary stage, right now we are trying to verify that the project meets the requirements of the zoning district before they can even submit a rezoning and to -- depending on

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	
2	
2	4

25

1

whether they decide to move forward or not, there'll be a public hearing at that point in time. So, I did want to be able to bring back up for the Commissioners some of the things and Mr. Dancy went through these again and glad to see that some of these things are aligning. the things that staff, the Some οf Town's recommending us to be able to definitely reduce that by that one floor and we're gonna make sure we're not exceeding height or the maximum floor ratio, that the text οf area t.he when draft addresses ordinance impervious surfaces. that the setbacks are clearly defined, which is already a requirement of the district, that a traffic impact study would be submitted with the rezoning including -addition to а beach and pedestrian access management plan, much of which Mr. Dancy already kinda spoke to in terms of some of the things that they do plan on providing. And then a breakdown of the public amenities then their associated cost to ensure that the project meets the minimum cost requirements which. again, is already underlying an requirement οf the district it's once

# TOWN OF ATLANTIC BEACH

1	submitted. For verification from South
2	Carolina Department of Transportation of their
3	willingness to allow for the encroachment for
4	the development of public parking and ingress
5	and egress to the building, and then a letter,
6	some kind of documentation, from surrounding
7	fire departments that can serve this and then
8	all the other requirements that are spelled out
9	in the Land Management Ordinance, but really
10	the primary things here are the those first
11	two items, the heights and the floor area
12	ratio. I think once those things are kinda
13	taken into the consideration, the project will
14	fully come into sync.
15	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Okay.
16	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I just want to ask a quick
17	question, is that all right

COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Go on.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: So I just want to make sure I totally am clear on what we're doing. these are the recommendations that the Planning Commission is letting Pearl of Atlantic, Black Pearl of Atlantic, know that we think they need to do in order to submit a full application ---

MS. KANE: That's correct.



1	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: is that correct?			
2	MS. KANE: That's correct.			
3	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay.			
4	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Okay.			
5	MS. KANE: And there may be other things that you			
6	want as part of this but, again, this is more			
7	from like the technical review standpoint just			
8	making sure they can pass go to be able to			
9	submit the rezoning.			
10	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: So now it's a motion to			
11	recommend, is that what we need?			
12	MS. KANE: Yeah, you can you can proceed with			
13	them with a motion to recommend for them to			
14	submit a full application with these being			
15	taken into account; your other alternative is			
16	for them to resubmit a revised conceptual plan			
17	to you all that addresses these items or the			
18	primary items but, really, the primary items			
19	are the height and the			
20	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Right.			
21	MS. KANE: and the floor area ratio, which can			
22				
23	easily be addressed.			
24	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: I motion to recommend rezoning			
25	pre-application of the Black Pearl of Atlantic			
20	to what we have there.			

TOWN OF ATLANTIC BEACH	98
COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Yea.	
CLERK: Commissioner Timothy Vereen?	
COMMISSIONER VEREEN: Yes.	
CLERK: Commissioner Esco McFadden?	
COMMISSIONER McFADDEN: Yes.	
CLERK: Commissioner Poterressia McNeil?	
COMMISSIONER MCNEIL: Yes.	
CLERK: Commissioner Kathryn Allen?	
COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Yes.	
CLERK: Meeting adjourned at what time?	
COMMISSIONER STEVENS: 3:45.	
CLERK: Meeting adjourned at 3:45 p.m.	
(There being nothing further, the meeting	was
adjourned.)	
	COMMISSIONER STEVENS: Yea.  CLERK: Commissioner Timothy Vereen?  COMMISSIONER VEREEN: Yes.  CLERK: Commissioner Esco McFadden?  COMMISSIONER McFADDEN: Yes.  CLERK: Commissioner Poterressia McNeil?  COMMISSIONER MCNEIL: Yes.  CLERK: Commissioner Kathryn Allen?  COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Yes.  CLERK: Meeting adjourned at what time?  COMMISSIONER STEVENS: 3:45.  CLERK: Meeting adjourned at 3:45 p.m.  (There being nothing further, the meeting